arXiv:1410.3271v1 [math.DG] 13 Oct 2014

New examples of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in hyper-Kähler manifolds

Kota Hattori

Abstract

We construct smooth families of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in some toric hyper-Kähler manifolds, which never become holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds via any hyper-Kähler rotations. These families converge to special Lagrangian immersions with self-intersection points in the sense of current. To construct them, we apply the desingularization method developed by Joyce.

1 Introduction

In 1982, Harvey and Lawson have introduced in [4] the notion of calibrated submanifolds in Riemannian manifold. They were recognized by many researchers as the important class of minimal submanifolds which had already been well-studied for a long time. One of the importances of calibrated submanifolds is the volume minimizing property, that is, every compact calibrated submanifold minimizes the volume functional in its homology class.

The several kinds of calibrated submanifolds are defined in the Riemannian manifolds with special holonomy. For example, special Lagrangian submanifolds are the middle dimensional calibrated submanifolds embedded in Riemannian manifolds with $SU(n)$ holonomy, so called Calabi-Yau manifolds. In hyper-Kähler manifolds, which are Riemannian manifolds with $Sp(n)$ holonomy, there is a notion of holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds those are calibrated by the n-th power of the Kähler form. At the same time, hyper-Kähler manifolds are naturally regarded as Calabi-Yau manifolds, special Lagrangian submanifolds also make sense in these manifolds. Hence there are two kinds of calibrated submanifolds in hyper-Kähler manifolds, and it is well-known that every holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold

becomes special Lagrangian by the hyper-Kähler rotations. The converse may not holds although the counterexamples have not been found.

Another importance of calibrated geometry is that some of the calibrated submanifolds have the moduli spaces with good structure. For instance, McLean has shown that the moduli space of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds becomes a smooth manifold, whose dimension is equal to the first betti number of the special Lagrangian submanifold [11].

Although the construction of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in Calabi-Yau manifolds is not easy in general, Y. I. Lee [10], Joyce [6][7] and D. A. Lee [9] developed the gluing method for the construction of families of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds converging to special Lagrangian immersions with self-intersection points as a sense of current. Moreover D. A. Lee construct a non-totally geodesic special Lagrangian submanifold in the flat torus by applying his gluing method. After these working, several concrete examples of special Lagrangian submanifolds are constructed by gluing method. See [5][2][3], for example.

In this paper we apply the result in [6][7] to the construction of new examples of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in toric hyper-Kähler manifolds. Moreover, these examples never become holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds with respect to any complex structures given by the hyper-Kähler rotations.

A hyper-Kähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold (M^{4n}, g) equipped with an integrable hypercomplex structure (I_1, I_2, I_3), so that g is hermitian with respect to every I_α, and $\omega_\alpha := g(I_\alpha \cdot, \cdot)$ are closed. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, note that $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}(\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)$ becomes a holomorphic symplectic 2-form with respect to I_1. If the holomorphic symplectic form vanishes on a submanifold $L^{2n} \subset M$, L is called a holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold. Clearly, this definition is not depend on θ.

Similarly, we can define the notion of holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold with respect to a complex structure $aI_1 + bI_2 + cI_3$ for every unit vector (a, b, c) in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The new complex structure $aI_1 + bI_2 + cI_3$ is called a hyper-Kähler rotation of (M, g, I_1, I_2, I_3).

The hyper-Kähler manifold M is naturally regarded as the Calabi-Yau manifold by the complex structure I_1, the Kähler form ω_1 and the holomorphic volume form $(\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)^n$. Then we can easy to see that holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds with respect to $\cos(\alpha\pi/n)I_2 + \sin(\alpha\pi/n)I_3$ are special Lagrangian for every $\alpha = 1, \cdots, 2n$. Conversely, it has been unknown whether there exist special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in hyper-Kähler manifolds never come from holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds with respect to any complex structure given by the hyper-Kähler rotations. The main result of this paper is described as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. There exist smooth compact special Lagrangian submanifolds $\{\tilde{L}_t\}_{0<t<\delta}$ and $\{L_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\cdots,2n}$ embedded in a hyper-Kähler manifold M^{4n}, which satisfy $\lim_{t\to 0}\tilde{L}_t = \bigcup_\alpha L_\alpha$ in the sense of current, and $\tilde{L}_t$ is diffeomorphic to $2n(\mathbb{P}^1)^n\#(S^1\times S^{2n-1})$. Moreover, each L_α is the holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold of M with respect to $\cos(\alpha\pi/n)I_2+\sin(\alpha\pi/n)I_3$, although $\tilde{L}_t$ never become holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds with respect to any complex structure given by the hyper-Kähler rotations.*

This is one of examples which we obtain in this article. Furthermore, we obtain special Lagrangian $2\mathbb{P}^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{P}^2}\#(S^1\times S^3)$ embedded in an 8-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold and special Lagrangian $(3N+1)(\mathbb{P}^1)^2\#N(S^1\times S^3)$ embedded in another 8-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold, both of which never become holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds with respect to any complex structure given by the hyper-Kähler rotations.

Theorem 1.1 has another significance from the point of the view of the compactification of the moduli spaces of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds. In general, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(L)$ of the deformations of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds $L \subset X$ is not necessarily to be compact, consequently the study of its compactification is important problem. It is known that the compactification of $\mathcal{M}(L)$ is given by the geometric measure theory. The special Lagrangian immersion $\bigcup_\alpha L_\alpha$ appeared in Theorem 1.1 is the concrete example of an element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\tilde{L}_{t_0})}\backslash\mathcal{M}(\tilde{L}_{t_0})$. D. A. Lee also considered the similar situation, however the Calabi-Yau structures of ambient space of $\tilde{L}_t$ is deformed by the parameter t in [9].

Here, we describe the outline of the proof. Let (M, J, ω, Ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m \geq 3$ with holomorphic volume form $\Omega \in H^0(K_M)$, and $L_\alpha \subset M$ be connected special Lagrangian submanifolds, where $\alpha = 1, \cdots, A$. Put $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \cdots, A\}$, and suppose we have a quiver $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, s, t)$, namely, $\mathcal{V}$ consists of finite vertices, $\mathcal{E}$ consists of finite directed edges, and s, t are maps $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{V}$ so that $s(h)$ is the source of $h \in \mathcal{E}$ and $t(h)$ is the target.

A subset $S \subset \mathcal{E}$ is called a cycle if it is written as $S = \{h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_l\}$ and $t(h_k) = s(h_{k+1})$, $t(h_l) = s(h_1)$ hold for all $k = 1, \cdots, l-1$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be *covered by cycles* if every edge $h \in \mathcal{E}$ is contained in some cycles of $\mathcal{E}$.

If there are two special Lagrangian submanifolds $L_0, L_1 \subset X$ intersecting transversely at $p \in L_0 \cap L_1$, then we can define a type at the intersection point p, which is a positive integer less than m. Then we have the next result, which follows from Theorem 9.7 of [6] by some additional arguments.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, s, t)$ be a quiver, and L_α be connected compact special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in a Calabi-Yau manifold (M, J, ω, Ω)*

for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that $L_{s(h)}$ and $L_{t(h)}$ intersects transversely at only one point p if $h \in \mathcal{E}$, and p is the intersection point of type 1, and $L_\alpha \cap L_\beta$ is empty if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and there are no edges connecting α and β. Then, if $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by cycles, there exists a family of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds $\{\tilde{L}_t\}_{0<t<\delta}$ embedded in M which satisfies $\lim_{t\to 0} \tilde{L}_t = \bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{V}} L_\alpha$ in the sense of current.

To obtain Theorem 1.1, we apply Theorem 1.2 to the case that M is a toric hyper-Kähler manifold and L_α is a holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold with respect to $\cos(\alpha\pi/n)I_2 + \sin(\alpha\pi/n)I_3$. Accordingly, the proof is reduced to looking for toric hyper-Kähler manifolds M and their holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds $L_1, \cdots, L_{2n}$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.2. In particular, to find L_α's so that $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by cycles is not so easy. The author cannot develop the systematic way to find such examples in toric hyper-Kähler manifolds, however, we can raise some concrete examples in this article.

In toric hyper-Kähler manifolds, many holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds are obtained as the inverse image of some special polytopes by the hyper-Kähler moment maps, where the polytopes are naturally given by the hyperplane arrangements which determine the toric hyper-Kähler manifolds. We can compute the type at the intersection point of two holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds, if the intersection point is the fixed point of the torus action. Finally, we can find examples of toric hyper-Kähler manifolds and such polytopes, which satisfy the assumption Theorem 1.2.

Next we have to show that these examples of special Lagrangian submanifolds never become holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds. Since $\tilde{L}_t$ is contained in the homology class $\sum_\alpha (-1)^\alpha [L_\alpha]$, we obtain the volume of $\tilde{L}_t$ by integrating the real part of the holomorphic volume form over $\sum_\alpha (-1)^\alpha [L_\alpha]$. On the other hand, if $\tilde{L}_t$ is holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold with respect to some $aI_1 + bI_2 + cI_3$, then the volume can be also computed by integrating $(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2 + c\omega_3)^n$ over $\sum_\alpha (-1)^\alpha [L_\alpha]$, since $a\omega_1 + b\omega_2 + c\omega_3$ should be the Kähler form on $\tilde{L}_t$. These two values of the volume do not coincide, we have a contradiction. At the same time, we have another simpler proof if the first betti number $\tilde{L}_t$ is odd, since any holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds become Kähler manifolds which always have even first betti number. The example constructed in Theorem 1.1 satisfies $b_1 = 1$, hence we can use this proof. However, we have other examples in Section 6 whose first betti number may be even.

This article is organized as follows. First of all we define σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds in Section 2 and review the constructions of them in toric hyper-Kähler manifolds in Section 3. Next we review the definition

of the type at the intersection point of two special Lagrangian submanifolds, and then compute them in the case of toric hyper-Kähler manifolds in Section 4. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.2 by using Theorem 9.7 of [6]. In Section 6, we find toric hyper-Kähler manifolds and their holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds which satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.2, and obtain compact special Lagrangian submanifolds embedded in some toric hyper-Kähler manifolds. In Section 7, we show the examples obtained in Section 6 never become σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds for any $\sigma \in S^2$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Dominic Joyce for his advice on this article. The author is also grateful to Dr. Yohsuke Imagi for useful discussion and his advice.

2 Holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds

Definition 2.1. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) equipped with integrable complex structures (I_1, I_2, I_3) is a *hyper-Kähler manifold* if each I_α is orthogonal with respect to g, they satisfy the quaternionic relation $I_1 I_2 I_3 = -1$ and fundamental 2-forms $\omega_\alpha := g(I_\alpha \cdot, \cdot)$ are closed.

We put $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ and call it the hyper-Kähler structure. For each

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \in S^2 = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3;\ a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\},$$

we have another Kähler structure

$$(M, I^\sigma, \omega^\sigma) := (M, \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_i \omega_i, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_i \omega_i).$$

Take $\sigma', \sigma'' \in S^2$ so that $(\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'')$ forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Suppose it has the positive orientation, that is,

$$\sigma \wedge \sigma' \wedge \sigma'' = (1, 0, 0) \wedge (0, 1, 0) \wedge (0, 0, 1)$$

holds. Then we have another hyper-Kähler structure $(\omega^\sigma, \omega^{\sigma'}, \omega^{\sigma''})$ which is called the hyper-Kähler rotation of ω.

Definition 2.2. Let (M, g, I_1, I_2, I_3) be a hyper-Kähler manifold of real dimension $4n$, and $L \subset M$ be a $2n$-dimensional submanifold. Fix $\sigma \in S^2$ arbitrarily. Then L is a *σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold* if $\omega^{\sigma'}|_L = \omega^{\sigma''}|_L = 0$.

It is easy to see that the above definition is not depend on the choice of σ', σ''.

Any hyper-Kähler manifolds can be regarded as Calabi-Yau manifolds by considering the pair of a Kähler manifold (M, I_1, ω_1) and a holomorphic volume form $(\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)^n \in H^0(M, K_M)$, where K_M is the canonical line bundle of the complex manifold (M, I_1). Therefore, we can consider the notion of special Lagrangian submanifolds in M as follows.

Definition 2.3. Let (M, g, I_1, I_2, I_3) be a hyper-Kähler manifold of real dimension $4n$, and $L \subset M$ be a $2n$-dimensional submanifold. Then L is a *special Lagrangian submanifold* if $\omega_1|_L = \mathrm{Im}(\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)^n|_L = 0$.

3 Toric hyper-Kähler manifolds

3.1 Construction

In this subsection we review the construction of toric hyper-Kähler manifolds shortly. Let $u_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a surjective $\mathbb{Z}$ linear map which induces a homomorphisms between tori and their Lie algebras, denoted by $\hat{u} : T^d \to T^n$ and $u : \mathbf{t}^d \to \mathbf{t}^n$, respectively. We put $K := \mathrm{Ker}\ \hat{u} \in T^d$ and $\mathbf{k} := \mathrm{Ker}\ u \in \mathbf{t}^d$, where $\mathbf{k}$: is the Lie algebra of the subtorus K. The adjoint map of u is denoted by $u^* : (\mathbf{t}^n)^* \to (\mathbf{t}^d)^*$: and it induces $u^* : V \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^* \to V \otimes (\mathbf{t}^d)^*$ naturally for any vector space V, which is also denoted by the same symbol.

Next we consider the action of T^d on the quaternionic vector space $\mathbb{H}^d$ given by $(x_1, \cdots, x_d) \cdot (g_1, \cdots, g_d) := (x_1 g_1, \cdots, x_d g_d)$ for $x_k \in \mathbb{H}$ and $g_k \in S^1$. Then this action preserves the standard hyper-Kähler structure on $\mathbb{H}^d$, and the hyper-Kähler moment map $\mu_d : \mathbb{H}^d \to \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (t^d)^*$ is given by $\mu_d(x_1, \cdots, x_d) = (x_1 i\overline{x_1}, \cdots, x_d i\overline{x_d})$. Here, $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ is the pure imaginary part of $\mathbb{H}$.

Let $\hat{\iota} : K \to T^d$ and $\iota : \mathbf{k} \to \mathbf{t}^d$ be the inclusion maps and put $\mu_K := \iota^* \circ \mu_d : \mathbb{H}^d \to \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbf{k}^*$ be the hyper-Kähler moment map with respect to K-action on $\mathbb{H}^d$. For each $\lambda \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbf{k}^*$, we obtain the hyper-Kähler quotient $X(u, \lambda) := \mu_K^{-1}(\iota^*(\lambda))/K$ for every $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_d) \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^d)^*$, called toric hyper-Kähler varieties. The complex structures on $X(u, \lambda)$ are denoted by $I_{\lambda,1}, I_{\lambda,2}, I_{\lambda,3}$, and the corresponding Kähler forms are denoted by $\omega_\lambda = (\omega_{\lambda,1}, \omega_{\lambda,2}, \omega_{\lambda,3})$.

Although $X(u, \lambda)$ is not necessarily to be a smooth manifold, the equivalent condition for the smoothness was obtained by Bielawski-Dancer in [1]. Let $e_1, \cdots, e_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the standard basis and $u_k := u(e_k) \in \mathbf{t}^n$. Put

$$H_k = H_k(\lambda) := \{y \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ \langle y, u_k \rangle + \lambda_k = 0\},$$

where

$$\langle y, u_k\rangle = (\langle y_1, u_k\rangle,\ \langle y_2, u_k\rangle,\ \langle y_3, u_k\rangle) \in \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$$

for $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$.

Theorem 3.1 ([1]). *The hyper-Kähler quotient $X(u,\lambda)$ is a smooth manifold if and only if both of the following conditions $(*1)(*2)$ are satisfied. $(*1)$ For any $\tau \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ with $\#\tau = n+1$, the intersection $\bigcap_{k\in\tau} H_k$ is empty. $(*2)$ For every $\tau \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ with $\#\tau = n$, the intersection $\bigcap_{k\in\tau} H_k$ is nonempty if and only if $\{u_k;\ k \in \tau\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$.*

The T^d action on $\mathbb{H}^d$ induces a $T^n = T^d/K$ action on $X(u,\lambda)$ preserving the hyper-Kähler structure of $X(u,\lambda)$, and the hyper-Kähler moment map $\mu_\lambda = (\mu_{\lambda,1},\ \mu_{\lambda,2},\ \mu_{\lambda,3}) : X(u,\lambda) \to \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$ is defined by

$$u^*(\mu_\lambda([x])) := \mu_d(x) - \lambda,$$

where $[x] \in X(u,\lambda)$ is the equivalence class represented by $x \in \mu_K^{-1}(\iota^*(\lambda))$.

Let $\sigma \in S^2$. A T^n-invariant submanifold $L \subset X(u,\lambda)$ becomes a σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold if $\mu_\lambda(L)$ is contained in $q + \sigma \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$ for some $q \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$.

3.2 Local model of the neighborhood of a fixed point

Let $X = X(u,\lambda)$ be a smooth toric hyper-Kähler manifold of real dimension $4n$, $\omega = \omega_\lambda$ and $\mu = \mu_\lambda$. Denote by X^* the maximal subset of X on whom T^n acts freely. Let $p \in X$ be a fixed point of the T^n-action. Then we can see that

$$H_{k_1} \cap H_{k_2} \cap \cdots \cap H_{k_n} = \{\mu(p)\}$$

for some $k_1, \cdots, k_n$, and we may suppose $k_i = i$ without loss of generality.

By the result of [12], the hyper-Kähler metric on X^* can be described using μ and T^n-connection on X^* and some functions defined on $\mu(X^*)$. Using their result, we can see that ω can be decomposed into two parts as

$$\omega = \omega_{\mathbb{H}^n} + \mu^*\eta$$

on U, where U is a T^n-invariant neighborhood of p, $\omega_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ has the same form with the standard hyper-Kähler structure on $\mathbb{H}^n$, and $\eta \in \Omega^2(\mu(U))$. Hence we have the followings.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (X, ω, μ) and p be as above. There is a T^n-invariant neighborhood $U \subset X$ of p, a T^n-equivariant diffeomorphism $F : U \to B_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\varepsilon)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^2(\mu(U))$ which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega|_U &= F^*\omega_{\mathbb{H}^n}|_U + \mu^*\eta, \\ \mu_n|_{B_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\varepsilon)} \circ F &= \mu|_U - \mu(p) \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n;\ \|x\| < \varepsilon\}$, and $\omega_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ is the standard hyper-Kähler structure on $\mathbb{H}^n$.

4 Characterizing angles

4.1 Calabi-Yau case

For the desingularization of special Lagrangian immersions which intersect transversely on a point, one should consider the characterizing angles, introduced by Lawlor [8].

Let (M, J, ω) be a Kähler manifold, where J is a complex structure, ω is a Kähler form. Suppose that there is a Lagrangian immersion $\iota : L \to M$, where ι is embedding on $L \backslash \{p_+, p_-\}$ and $\iota(L)$ intersects at $\iota(p_+) = \iota(p_-) = p \in M$ transversely. We suppose L is not necessarily to be connected, and the orientation of L is fixed.

Theorem 4.1 ([6][7])**.** *Let (J_0, ω_0) be the standard Kähler structure on $\mathbb{C}^m$. There exists a linear map $v : T_pM \to \mathbb{C}^m$ satisfying the following conditions; (i) v is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism preserving the Kähler forms, (ii) there is $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ which satisfies $0 < \varphi_1 \leq \cdots \leq \varphi_m < \pi$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} v \circ \iota_*(T_{x_+}L) &= \mathbb{R}^m = \{(t_1, \cdots, t_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m;\ t_i \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ v \circ \iota_*(T_{x_-}L) &= \mathbb{R}^m_\varphi = \{(t_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_1}, \cdots, t_m e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^m;\ t_i \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) v maps the orientation of $\iota_*(T_{x_+}L)$ to the standard orientation of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Moreover, $\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_m$ and the induced orientation of $\mathbb{R}^m_\varphi$ by $v, \iota_*(T_{x_-}L)$ do not depend on the choice of v.

Proof. Choose a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $v_0 : T_pM \to \mathbb{C}^m$ which preserves the Kähler metrics and the orientations of $\iota_*(T_{x_+}L)$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then $V_\pm := v_0 \circ \iota_*(T_{x_\pm}L)$ are Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^m$. It is well-known that any Lagrangian subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^m$ are written as $g \cdot \mathbb{R}^m$ for some $g \in U(m)$, where $\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is the standard real form of $\mathbb{C}^m$. Therefore there are $g_\pm \in U(m)$ so that $g_+ \cdot V_+ = g_- \cdot V_- = \mathbb{R}^m$. We may chose g_+ so that it preserves the orientations of V_+ and $\mathbb{R}^m$. Once we fined such $g_\pm$, we can replace them by $h_\pm g_\pm$

for any $h_+ \in SO(m)$ and $h_- \in O(m)$ respectively. Now, let $v := h_+ g_+ v_0$. Then we have $v \circ \iota_*(T_{x_+}L) = \mathbb{R}^m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v \circ \iota_*(T_{x_-}L) &= h_+ g_+ V_- = (h_+ g_+ g_-^{-1} h_-^{-1}) h_- g_- V_- \\ &= (h_+ g_+ g_-^{-1} h_-^{-1}) \mathbb{R}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, it suffices to show that $h_\pm \in O(m)$ can be chosen so that $h_+ g_+ g_-^{-1} h_-^{-1}$ is a diagonal matrix. Put $P = g_+ g_-^{-1} \in SU(m)$. Since ${}^tPP$ is a unitary and symmetric matrix, it can be diagonalized by some $Q \in O(m)$, that is, ${}^tQ{}^tPPQ = \mathrm{diag}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_m})$ holds for some $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \theta_m < 2\pi$. Note that Q can be chosen so that either $\det(Q) = 1$ or $\det(Q) = -1$. If we put $R := PQ\mathrm{diag}(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta_1/2}, \cdots, e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta_m/2}) \in U(m)$, then ${}^tR = R^* = R^{-1}$ holds, hence R is contained in $O(m)$. We determine the value of $\det(Q)$ so that $\det(R) = 1$. Hence the assertion follows by putting $h_+ = R^{-1}$, $h_- = Q^{-1}$ and $\varphi_i = \theta_i/2$. Here, φ_i never be 0 since V_+ and V_- intersect transversely.

Next we show the uniqueness of $\varphi_1 \leq \cdots \leq \varphi_m$ and the orientation of $\mathbb{R}^m_\varphi$. Assume that we have other $\hat{v} : T_pM \to \mathbb{C}^m$ satisfying $(i)(ii)(iii)$, and suppose

$$\hat{v} \circ \iota_*(T_{x_-}L) = \{(t_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_1}, \cdots, t_m e^{\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_m}) \in \mathbb{C}^m;\ t_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

holds for some $0 < \hat{\varphi}_1 \leq \cdots \leq \hat{\varphi}_m < \pi$. If we put $\hat{g} := \hat{v}v^{-1}$, then $\hat{g}$ is in $U(m)$ and preserves the subspace $\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, hence $\hat{g} \in O(m)$. Moreover $\hat{g} \in SO(m)$ holds since v and $\hat{v}$ satisfy (iii). Moreover $\hat{g}(\mathbb{R}^m_\varphi) = \mathbb{R}^m_{\hat{\varphi}}$ also holds, consequently we can see that

$$G := \mathrm{diag}(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_1}, \cdots, e^{-\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_m}) \cdot \hat{g} \cdot \mathrm{diag}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_1}, \cdots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi_m})$$

is a real matrix, hence we can deduce that

$$\hat{g} \cdot \mathrm{diag}(e^{2\sqrt{-1}\varphi_1}, \cdots, e^{2\sqrt{-1}\varphi_m}) \cdot \hat{g}^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(e^{2\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_1}, \cdots, e^{2\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_m})$$

by $\overline{G} = G$. Thus we obtain $e^{2\sqrt{-1}\varphi_i} = e^{2\sqrt{-1}\hat{\varphi}_i}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$, which implies $\varphi_i = \hat{\varphi}_i$, since $\hat{\varphi}_i$ are taken from $(0, \pi)$. Now we have two orientations on $\mathbb{R}^m_\varphi$ induced from v and $\hat{v}$ respectively. These coincide since $\det(G) = \det(\hat{g}) = 1$. □

Here, $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_m)$ is called the characterizing angles between (L, p_+) and (L, p_-). Under the above situation, assume that there is a holomorphic volume form Ω on M satisfying $\omega^m/m! = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2}(\sqrt{-1}/2)^m \Omega \wedge \overline{\Omega}$, where m is the complex dimension of M. Let $\Omega_0 := dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_m$ be the standard holomorphic volume form on $\mathbb{C}^m$, and assume that $\iota : L \to M$ is a

special Lagrangian immersion. Then there exists $v : T_pM \to \mathbb{C}^m$ satisfying Theorem 4.1. By the condition (ii), we can see that $v^*\Omega_0 = \Omega_p$.

Since both of $\iota_*(T_{p_\pm}L)$ are special Lagrangian subspaces, there is a positive integer $k = 1, 2, \cdots m-1$ and $\varphi_1 + \cdots + \varphi_m = k\pi$ holds. Then the intersection point $p \in M$ is said to be of type k. Note that the type depends on the order of p_+, p_-. If we take the opposite order, the characterizing angles become $\pi - \varphi_m, \cdots, \pi - \varphi_1$ and the type becomes $m - k$.

4.2 Hyper-Kähler case

An irreducible decomposition of T^n-action on $\mathbb{H}^n$ is given by

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Z_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W_i,$$

where Z_i and W_i are complex 1-dimensional representation of T^n defined by

$$(g_1, \cdots, g_n)z_i := g_i z_i, \quad (g_1, \cdots, g_n)w_i := g_i^{-1} w_i$$

for $(g_1, \cdots, g_n) \in T^n$ and $z_i \in Z_i,\ w_i \in W_i$. Note that Z_i and W_i are not isomorphic as $\mathbb{C}$-representations, but the complex conjugate restricted to Z_i gives an isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-representations $Z_i \to W_i$. For $(\alpha, \beta) \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, put $h(\alpha, \beta) := (|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2, 2\mathrm{Im}(\alpha\beta), -2\mathrm{Re}(\alpha\beta)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then $h : S^3 \to S^2$ is the Hopf fibration and S^1 action is given by $e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = (e^{\sqrt{-1}t}\alpha, e^{-\sqrt{-1}t}\beta)$.

Now we put

$$V_i(y) := \{(\alpha z_i, \beta \overline{z_i}) \in Z_i \oplus W_i;\ z_i \in Z_i\}$$

for $y \in S^2$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \in S^3$ is taken to be $h(\alpha, \beta) = y$. Then $V_i(y)$ does not depend on the choice of (α, β), and $V_i(y)$ is an sub $\mathbb{R}$-representation of $Z_i \oplus W_i$. Conversely, any nontrivial sub $\mathbb{R}$-representation of $Z_i \oplus W_i$ is obtained in this way. Note that $V_i(y) = V_i(y')$ holds if and only if $y = y'$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a σ-holomorphic Lagrangian subspace which is closed under the T^n action. Then we have*

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i(\varepsilon_i \sigma)$$

for some $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, and its hyper-Kähler moment image is given by

$$\mu_n(V) = \{\sigma \otimes (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ \varepsilon_i x_i \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. Take $\sigma', \sigma'' \in S^2$ so that $(\sigma, \sigma', \sigma'')$ is the orthonormal basis with positive orientation of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let I_1, I_2, I_3 be the standard basis of the pure imaginary part of $\mathbb{H}$, and $I^\sigma, I^{\sigma'}, I^{\sigma''}$ be its hyper-Kähler rotation. Let $V \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a σ-holomorphic Lagrangian subspace which is closed under the T^n action. Since V is Lagrangian with respect to $\omega^{\sigma'}$, we have an orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{H}^n = V \oplus I^{\sigma'}V$. Then V and $I^{\sigma'}V$ are isomorphic as real representations of T^n, therefore V should be isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^n Z_i$ as real representations of T^n, and can be written as $V = \oplus_{i=1}^n V_i(y_i)$ for some $y_i \in S^2$ by Schur's Lemma. Here, every y_i is determined uniquely. Next we calculate the restriction of ω to V. Let $(z_1, \cdots, z_n, w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ be the holomorphic coordinate on $\mathbb{H}^n$, where $z_i \in Z_i \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $w_i \in W_i \cong \mathbb{C}$ then ω can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (dz_i \wedge d\overline{z_i} + dw_i \wedge d\overline{w_i}), \\ \omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^n dz_i \wedge dw_i. \end{aligned}$$

Take $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in S^3$ such that $h(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = y_i$. Then $P, Q \in V = \oplus_{i=1}^n V_i(y_i)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (\alpha_1 p_1, \cdots, \alpha_n p_n, \beta_1 \overline{p_1}, \cdots \beta_n \overline{p_n}), \\ Q &= (\alpha_1 q_1, \cdots, \alpha_n q_n, \beta_1 \overline{q_1}, \cdots \beta_n \overline{q_n}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $p_i \in Z_i$ and $q_i \in W_i$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(P, Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (|\alpha_i|^2 - |\beta_i|^2)\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p_i} q_i), \\ (\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)(P, Q) &= -2\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i \mathrm{Im}(\overline{p_i} q_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence σ Lagrangian condition for V is equivalent to that the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n (|\alpha_i|^2 - |\beta_i|^2)\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p_i} q_i) \\ 2\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Im}(\alpha_i \beta_i)\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p_i} q_i) \\ -2\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Re}(\alpha_i \beta_i)\mathrm{Im}(\overline{p_i} q_i) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

is orthogonal to $\sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for any $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus every

$$y_i = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha_i|^2 - |\beta_i|^2 \\ 2\mathrm{Im}(\alpha_i \beta_i) \\ -2\mathrm{Re}(\alpha_i \beta_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to $\pm\sigma$ because $\{\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''\}$ is an orthonormal basis. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let (X, ω, μ) and p be as in Proposition 3.2. Let $L \subset X$ be a σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold containing p, and assume that there exists a sufficiently small $r > 0$, $\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i' = \pm 1$, and*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu(L) - \mu(p)) \cap B(r) &= \sigma \otimes \{x \in (\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ \|x\| < r, \varepsilon_i' x_i \geq 0\},\\ \mu_n(V) &= \sigma \otimes \{x \in (\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ \varepsilon_i x_i \geq 0\}\end{aligned}$$

holds, where $V = dF_p(T_pL)$ and $B(r) = \{y \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*; \|y\| < r\}$. Then $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i'$ holds for every $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proof. By the first equation of Proposition 3.2, we have $\mu(L) - \mu(p) = \mu_n(F(L))$. Since $T_0F(L) = dF_p(T_pL)$, $\mu_n(V) = \mu_n(T_0F(L))$ holds. Now we have open neighborhoods $U_0 \subset F(L)$ of 0, $U_1 \subset T_0F(L)$ of 0, and a diffeomorphism $f : U_0 \to U_1$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $df_0 = \mathrm{id}$. Next we take a smooth map $\gamma : (-1, 1) \to F(L)$ which satisfies $\gamma(0) = F(p) = 0$, $\varepsilon_i' \mu_n^i(\gamma(t)) > 0$ for $t \neq 0$. Here μ_n^i is the i-th component of μ_n. Since $\|f(x) - x\| = \mathcal{O}(\|x\|^2)$ and $\mu_n(x + \delta x) = \mu_n(x) + \mathcal{O}(\|x\|\|\delta x\|)$ holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n(f \circ \gamma(t)) &= \mu_n(\gamma(t) + \mathcal{O}(\|\gamma(t)\|^2))\\ &= \mu_n(\gamma(t)) + \mathcal{O}(\|\gamma(t)\|^3)\end{aligned}$$

If we take t sufficiently close to 0, then $\|\gamma(t)\|$ is sufficiently small but $\varepsilon_i' \mu_n^i(\gamma(t)) > 0$, hence $\varepsilon_i' \mu_n^i(f \circ \gamma(t))$ should be positive for small t since μ_n is a quadratic polynomial. Since $\mu_n(f \circ \gamma(t)) \in \mu_n(V)$, $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i'$ must holds. We have taken i arbitrarily, $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i'$ holds for every $i = 1, \cdots, n$. □

Let

$$\sigma(\theta) = (0, \cos\theta, \sin\theta) \in S^2.$$

Then every $\sigma(\theta)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold is special Lagrangian, if $n\theta \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $n\theta_\pm \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $V_\pm$ be T^n-invariant $\sigma(\theta_\pm)$-holomorphic Lagrangian subspaces of $\mathbb{H}^n$ given by*

$$V_+ := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i(\sigma(\theta_+)), \quad V_- := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_i(\sigma(\theta_-)).$$

Then the characterizing angles between V_+ and V_- are given by $(\theta_- - \theta_+)/2$ with multiplicity $2n$.

Proof. Since $h(\sqrt{-1}/\sqrt{2}, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_\pm}/\sqrt{2}) = \sigma(\theta_\pm)$, we have

$$V_\pm = \{(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}z_1, \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_\pm}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{z_1}, \cdots, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}z_n, \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_\pm}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{z_n}) \in \mathbb{H}^n;\ z_1, \cdots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

respectively. Put

$$A(\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} & e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} \\ -1 & \sqrt{-1}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$g_+ := \begin{pmatrix} A(\theta_+) & & \mathrm{O} \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathrm{O} & & A(\theta_+) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_- := \begin{pmatrix} A(\theta_-) & & \mathrm{O} \\ & \ddots & \\ \mathrm{O} & & A(\theta_-) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $g_+V_+ = g_-V_- = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ holds, then the characterizing angles are the argument of the square root of the eigenvalues of ${}^tPP$, where $P = g_+g_-^{-1}$, by the proof of Theorem 4.1. Since

$${}^t(A(\theta_+)A(\theta_-)^{-1})A(\theta_+)A(\theta_-)^{-1} = e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_- - \theta_+)}\mathrm{Id},$$

the characterizing angles turn out to be $(\theta_- - \theta_+)/2$ with multiplicity $2n$. □

Now we consider the case that

$$(M, J, \omega, \Omega) = (X(u, \lambda), I_1, (\omega_{\lambda,2} + \sqrt{-1}\omega_{\lambda,3})^n)$$

and $L = L_+ \sqcup L_-$, where $L_\pm$ is embedded as $\sigma(\theta_\pm)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds respectively, for some $\theta_\pm \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\iota : L \to X(u, \lambda)$ the immersion. Assume that the image of L is a T^n invariant subset of $X(u, \lambda)$, and p is the fixed point of the torus action. In this subsection, we see the characterizing angles between (L, p_+) and (L, p_-) in this situation.

Take $F : U \to B_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\varepsilon)$ as in Proposition 3.2. Then $dF_p : T_pX(u, \lambda) \to \mathbb{H}^n$ is T^n-equivariant and satisfies $dF_p^*(\omega_{\mathbb{H}^n}|_0) = \omega|_p$ by the first equation in Proposition 3.2 since $d\mu_p = 0$. Here, a T^n-action on $T_pX(u, \lambda)$ is induced from the torus action on $X(u, \lambda)$ since p is fixed by the action. Then $V_\pm := dF_p \circ \iota_*(T_{p_\pm}L)$ is a $\sigma_\pm$-holomorphic Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{H}^n$, respectively. Moreover, $V_\pm$ are closed under the T^n-action.

Proposition 4.5. *Under the above setting, assume that there is a sufficiently small $r > 0$ and*

$$(\mu(L_\pm) - \mu(p)) \cap B(r) \quad = \quad \sigma_\pm \otimes \{x \in (\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ \|x\| < r, x_i \geq 0\}$$

holds respectively. Then the characterizing angles between (L, p_+) and (L, p_-) are given by $(\theta_- - \theta_+)/2$ with multiplicity $2n$.

Proof. By combining Propositions 4.24.3, we can see that

$$V_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} V_i(\sigma(\theta_{\pm}))$$

respectively. Thus we have the assertion by Proposition 4.4. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Although Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 9.7 of [6] essentially, we need some additional argument about the quivers. Let $Q = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, s, t)$ be a quiver, that is, $\mathcal{V}$ consists of finite vertices, $\mathcal{E}$ consists of directed finite edges, and $s, t : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{V}$ are maps. Here, $s(h)$ and $t(h)$ means the source and the target of $h \in \mathcal{E}$ respectively. The quiver is said to be connected if any two vertices are connected by some edges. Given the quiver, we have operators

$$\begin{aligned} \partial &: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}} \\ \partial^* &: \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(\sum_{h\in\mathcal{E}} A_h \cdot h) &:= \sum_{k\in\mathcal{V}} A_h \cdot (s(h) - t(h)), \\ \partial^*(\sum_{k\in\mathcal{V}} x_k \cdot k) &:= \sum_{h\in\mathcal{E}} (x_{s(h)} - x_{t(h)}) \cdot h. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}}$ are the free $\mathbb{R}$-modules generated by elements of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ respectively. Since ∂^* is the adjoint of ∂, we have

$$\mathbf{h}_0(Q) - \mathbf{h}_1(Q) = \#\mathcal{V} - \#\mathcal{E}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{h}_0(Q) = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\partial^*$ and $\mathbf{h}_1(Q) = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\partial$. Note that $\mathbf{h}_0(Q)$ is equal to the number of the connected components of Q.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Q be as above. The set $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$ is nonempty if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by cycles.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_\alpha S_k$ holds for some cycles $S_1, \cdots, S_N$. For a subset $S \subset \mathcal{E}$, define $\chi_S \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}}$ by

$$(\chi_S)_h := \begin{cases} 1 & (h \in S), \\ 0 & (h \notin S). \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \chi_{S_k}$ is contained in $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$.

Conversely, assume that there exists $A = \sum_{h\in\mathcal{E}} A_h \cdot h \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$, and take $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ arbitrarily. Since $\partial(A) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{h\in s^{-1}(t(h_0))} A_h = \sum_{h\in t^{-1}(t(h_0))} A_h \geq A_{h_0} > 0.$$

Hence $s^{-1}(t(h_0))$ is nonempty, we can take $h_1 \in s^{-1}(t(h_0))$. By repeating this procedure, we obtain $h_0, h_1, \cdots, h_l$ so that $t(h_k) = s(h_{k+1})$ for $k = 0, \cdots, l-1$. Stop this procedure when $t(h_l) = s(h_k)$ holds for some $k = 0, \cdots, l$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is finite, this procedure always stops for some $l < +\infty$. Then we have an nonempty cycle $S_0 = \{h_k, h_{k+1}, \cdots, h_l\}$. If h_0 is contained in S_0, then we have the assertion, hence suppose $h_0 \notin S_0$ Put $A_0 := \min_{h\in S_0} A_h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &:= \{h \in \mathcal{E};\ A_h = A_0\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_1 &:= \mathcal{E}\backslash P_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a new quiver $((\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_1, s, t))$ and the boundary operator $\partial_1 : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}}$. Now, put $A^{(1)} := A - A_0\chi_{S_0} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_1}$, where Then each component of $A^{(1)}$ is positive. Moreover we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1(A^{(1)}) &= \sum_{h\in\mathcal{E}\backslash S_0} A_h(s(h) - t(h)) + \sum_{h\in S_0\backslash P_0} (A_h - A_0)(s(h) - t(h)) \\ &= \sum_{h\in\mathcal{E}} A_h(s(h) - t(h)) - \sum_{h\in S_0} A_h(s(h) - t(h)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{h\in S_0} (A_h - A_0)(s(h) - t(h)) \\ &= \partial(A) - \sum_{h\in S_0} A_0(s(h) - t(h)) \\ &= -A_0\partial(\chi_{S_0}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus $A^{(1)}$ is contained in $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathcal{E}_1} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_1)$. Then we can apply the above procedure for $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and we can construct S_k inductively. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is finite and $\#\mathcal{E} > \#\mathcal{E}_1 > \cdots$ holds, there is k_0 such that $h_0 \in S_{k_0}$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $Q = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, s, t)$ be as above. Then $Q' = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\backslash\{h\}, s, t)$ satisfies either $(\mathbf{h}_0(Q'), \mathbf{h}_1(Q')) = (\mathbf{h}_0(Q) + 1, \mathbf{h}_1(Q))$ or $(\mathbf{h}_0(Q'), \mathbf{h}_1(Q')) = (\mathbf{h}_0(Q), \mathbf{h}_1(Q) - 1)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 &:= \{h \in \mathcal{E}; A_h = 0 \text{ for any } A \in \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &:= \mathcal{E}\backslash\mathcal{E}_1. \end{aligned}$$

First of all, we show that there exists $A \in (\mathbb{R}_{\neq 0})^{\mathcal{E}_2} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_2)$, where $\partial_2 : \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_2} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}}$ is the restriction of ∂ to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}_2$, we can easy to see that $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial_2) = \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$ holds, then we can take $A^h = \sum_{h' \in \mathcal{E}_2} A^h_{h'} \cdot h' \in \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_2)$ for every $h \in \mathcal{E}_2$ so that $A^h_h \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{E}_2$ is a finite set, we may write $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{1, \cdots, \#\mathcal{E}_2\}$. Let h_1 be the minimum number so that $A^1_{h_1} = 0$. Then put $B^2 := A^1 + a_1 A^{h_1}$ for some $a_1 \neq 0$. Chose a_1 sufficiently close to 0 so that $B^2_h \neq 0$ for all $h \leq h_1$. By defining B^k inductively, finally we obtain $A = B^N \in (\mathbb{R}_{\neq 0})^{\mathcal{E}}_2 \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_2)$ for some N.

Since $\mathbf{h}_i(Q)$ is independent of the orientation of each edge in $\mathcal{E}$, we can replace $h \in \mathcal{E}_2$ by the edge with the opposite orientation if $A_h < 0$. Consequently, we may suppose $A_h > 0$ for any $h \in \mathcal{E}_2$ without loss of generality. Hence $\mathcal{E}_2$ is covered by cycles by Lemma 5.1.

Next we consider $Q' = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \backslash \{h\}, s, t)$. Let $\partial' := \partial|_{\mathcal{E}'}$ and $(\partial')^* : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{E}'$ be the adjoint operator. If $h \in \mathcal{E}_1$, then we can see $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial) = \mathrm{Ker}(\partial')$. In this case $(\mathbf{h}_0(Q'), \mathbf{h}_1(Q')) = (\mathbf{h}_0(Q) + 1, \mathbf{h}_1(Q))$ holds by the equation (1). If $h \in \mathcal{E}_2$, then h is contained in a cycle $S \subset \mathcal{E}_2$. Then $s(h)$ and $t(h)$ are also connected in $\mathcal{E}'$, the number of the connected components of Q' is equal to that of Q. Thus we have $(\mathbf{h}_0(Q'), \mathbf{h}_1(Q')) = (\mathbf{h}_0(Q), \mathbf{h}_1(Q) - 1)$. □

Let L_α be a compact connected smooth special Lagrangian submanifold of the Calabi-Yau manifold (M, J, ω, Ω) of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$. For every $h \in \mathcal{E}$, suppose $L_{s(h)}$ and $L_{t(h)}$ intersects transversely at $p_h \in L_{s(h)} \cap L_{t(h)}$, where p_h is the intersection point of type 1. Assume that $p_h \neq p_{h'}$ if $h \neq h'$, and assume that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}} L_\alpha \backslash \{p_h; h \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is embedded in M. Let L_Q be a differential manifold obtained by taking the connected some of $L_{s(h)}$ and $L_{t(h)}$ at p_h for every $h \in \mathcal{E}$. By Theorem 9.7 of [6], if $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$ is nonempty, there exists a family of compact smooth special Lagrangian submanifolds $\{\tilde{L}_t\}_{0<t<\delta}$ which converges to $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}}$ as $t \to 0$ in the sense of current. Here, $\tilde{L}_t$ is diffeomorphic to L_Q.

Now we can replace the assumption that $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$ is nonempty can be replaced by that $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by cycles. Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed by the next proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *If $Q = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, s, t)$ is a connected quiver, then L_Q is diffeomorphic to*

$$L_1 \# L_2 \# \cdots \# L_A \# N(S^1 \times S^{m-1}),$$

where $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \cdots, A\}$ and $N = \dim \mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$, and the orientation of each L_α is determined by $\mathrm{Re}\Omega|_{L_\alpha}$.

Proof. Let $Q = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, s, t)$ be a connected quiver and $Q' = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}', s|_{\mathcal{E}'}, t|_{\mathcal{E}'})$, where $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \backslash \{h\}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ be as in the proof of Lemma 5.2.

If $h \in \mathcal{E}_1$, then the quiver Q' consists of two connected components $Q_1 = (\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{F}_1, s|_{\mathcal{F}_1}, t|_{\mathcal{F}_1})$ and $Q_2 = (\mathcal{W}_2, \mathcal{F}_2, s|_{\mathcal{F}_2}, t|_{\mathcal{F}_2})$, where $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{W}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{W}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{E}' \cap (s^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_i) \cup t^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_i))$. Then we can see that $L_Q = L_{Q_1} \# L_{Q_2}$.

If $h \in \mathcal{E}_2$, then $Q' = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}', s|_{\mathcal{E}'}, t|_{\mathcal{E}'})$ is also connected, hence L_Q is constructed from $L_{Q'}$ in the following way. Take any distinct points $p_+, p_- \in L_{Q'}$ and their neighborhood $B_{p_\pm} \subset L_{Q'}$ so that $B_{p_0} \cap B_{p_1}$ is empty and $B_{p_\pm}$ are diffeomorphic to the Euclidean unit ball. Now we have a polar coordinate $(r_\pm, \Theta_\pm) \in B_{p_\pm} \backslash \{p_\pm\}$, where $r_\pm \in (0,1)$ is the distance from $p_\pm$, and $\Theta_\pm \in S^{m-1}$. By taking a diffeomorphism $\psi : (r, \Theta) \mapsto (1-r, \varphi(\Theta))$, we can glue $B_{p_+} \backslash \{p_+\}$ and $B_{p_-} \backslash \{p_-\}$, then obtain L_Q. Here, $\varphi : S^{m-1} \to S^{m-1}$ is a diffeomorphism which reverse the orientation. Note that the differentiable structure of L_Q is independent of the choice of $p_\pm$, $B_{p_\pm}$ and φ. Therefore we may suppose p_+ and p_- is contained in an open subset $U \subset L_Q$, where $U = B(0,10)$ and $B_{p_\pm} = B(\pm 5, 1)$, respectively. Here $B(x,r) = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^m;\ \|x' - x\| < r\}$. Then $(U \backslash \{(1,0), (-1,0)\})/\psi$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{m-1} \backslash \{\text{pt.}\}$, hence L_Q is diffeomorphic to $L_{Q'} \# S^1 \times S^{m-1}$.

By repeating these two types of procedures, we finally obtain a quiver $Q'' = (\mathcal{V}, \emptyset, s, t)$, and we have $(\mathbf{h}_0(Q''), \mathbf{h}_1(Q'')) = (\#\mathcal{V}, 0)$. By counting $(\mathbf{h}_0, \mathbf{h}_1)$ on each step, it turns out that we have to follow the former procedures $\#\mathcal{V} - 1$ times and the latter procedures $\mathbf{h}_1(Q)$ times until we reach Q''. Therefore we obtain the assertion by considering the procedures inductively. □

6 The construction of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds in $X(u, \lambda)$

Here we construct examples of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds in $X(u, \lambda)$, using Theorem 1.2. We construct a one parameter family of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds which degenerates to the union $\bigcup_i L_i$ of some σ_i-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifolds L_i in Subsection 6.1.

Let $X(u, \lambda)$ be a smooth toric hyper-Kähler manifold.

Definition 6.1. We call $\triangle \subset \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$ *a σ-Delzant polytope* if it is a compact convex set in

$$V(q, \sigma) := q + \sigma \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$$

for some $q \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$, and the boundary of $\triangle$ in $V(q, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$\partial \triangle = \triangle \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} H_k \right).$$

It is easy to see that $L_\triangle := \mu_\lambda^{-1}(\triangle)$ is σ-holomorphic Lagrangian if it is smooth. Since T^n-action is closed on $L_\triangle$, we may regard $(L_\triangle, I^\sigma_{\lambda,1}|_{L_\triangle})$ as a toric variety, equipped with a Kähler form $\omega^\sigma_{\lambda,1}|_{L_\triangle}$ and a Kähler moment map $\mu^\sigma_{\lambda,1} : L_\triangle \to (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$. In particular, $L_\triangle$ is an oriented manifold whose orientation is induced naturally from $I^\sigma_{\lambda,1}$. We denote by $\overline{L}_\triangle$ the oriented manifold diffeomorphic to $L_\triangle$ with the opposite orientation. By the assumption $X(u,\lambda)$ is smooth, u and λ satisfies $(*1)(*2)$ of Theorem 3.1, then it is easy to see that $\triangle$ is a Delzant polytope in the ordinary sense, consequently $L_\triangle$ turns out to be a smooth toric variety.

Definition 6.2. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, let $\triangle_\alpha$ be a $\sigma(\theta_\alpha)$-Delzant polytope. Put

$$Q(r) := \{(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in (\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ t_1 \geq 0, \cdots, t_n \geq 0, t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2 < r^2\}.$$

Then $\triangle_0$ and $\triangle_1$ are said to be *intersecting standardly with angle* θ if $\triangle_0 \cap \triangle_1 = \{q\}$ and there are $\psi \in GL_n\mathbb{Z}$, $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and sufficiently small $r > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\triangle_0 - q) \cap B(r) &= \sigma(\theta_0) \otimes Q(r), \\ \psi(\triangle_1 - q) \cap B(r) &= \sigma(\theta_0 + \theta) \otimes Q(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi : (\mathbb{Z}^n)^* \to (\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ extends to $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^* \to \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^n)^*$ naturally.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let

$$d_m(l_1, l_2) := \min\{|l_1 - l_2 + mk|;\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

for $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, which induces a distance function on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

The main result of this article is described as follows.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $X(u,\lambda)$ be a smooth toric hyper-Kähler manifold, and $\triangle_k$ be a $\sigma(k\pi/n)$-Delzant polytope for each $k = 1, \cdots, 2n$. Assume that $\triangle_k \cap \triangle_l = \emptyset$ if $d_{2n}(k,l) > 1$, and $\triangle_k$ and $\triangle_{k+1}$ intersecting standardly with angle π/n. Then there exists a family of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds $\{\tilde{L}_t\}_{0<t<\delta}$ which converges $\bigcup_{k=1}^{2n} L_{\triangle_k}$ as $t \to 0$ in the sense of current. Moreover, $\tilde{L}_t$ is diffeomorphic to $L_{\triangle_1}\#\overline{L}_{\triangle_2}\#\cdots L_{\triangle_{2n-1}}\#\overline{L}_{\triangle_{2n}}\#(S^1 \times S^{2n-1})$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 1.2. By combining Propositions 4.2 and 4.4, we can see that the characterizing angles between $L_{\triangle_k}$ and $L_{\triangle_{k+1}}$ are $\frac{\pi}{2n}$ with multiplicity $2n$. Then the intersection point $L_{\triangle_k} \cap L_{\triangle_{k+1}}$ is of type 1.

Next we consider the topology of $\tilde{L}_t$. When we take a connected sum, we should determine the orientation of $L_{\triangle_k}$ uniformly by the calibration $\mathrm{Re}\Omega$,

where $\Omega = (\omega_{\lambda,2} + \sqrt{-1}\omega_{\lambda,3})^n$. Now $\Omega|_{L_{\triangle_k}} = (-1)^k(\omega_1^{\sigma(k\pi/n)})^n|_{L_{\triangle_k}}$ holds, therefore $\tilde{L}_t$ is diffeomorphic to

$$L_{\triangle_1}\#\overline{L}_{\triangle_2}\#\cdots L_{\triangle_{2n-1}}\#\overline{L}_{\triangle_{2n}}\#(S^1\times S^{2n-1}).$$

□

6.1 Example (1)

Let

$$u = (I_n\ I_n\ \cdots\ I_n) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{2n^2}, \mathbb{Z}^n)$$

and $\lambda = (\lambda_{1,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{2,n}, \cdots, \lambda_{2n,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{2n,n})$, where I_n is the identity matrix. Then $X(u,\lambda)$ is smooth if $\lambda_{k,\alpha} = \lambda_{l,\alpha}$ holds only if $k = l$. We assume this condition and that $-\lambda_{k,\alpha} = (0, \rho_{k,\alpha}) \in \{0\}\oplus\mathbb{C}$ holds for every k, α, where $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{C}$. Moreover we suppose that

$$\arg(\rho_{k+1,\alpha} - \rho_{k,\alpha}) = \theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}k\pi \tag{2}$$

for some $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $X(u,\lambda)$ is a direct product of multi Eguchi-Hanson spaces.

Next we put $q_k := -(\lambda_{k,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k,n}) \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}\otimes(\mathbf{t}^n)^*$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\square_k &:= q_k + (0, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}k\pi)}) \otimes \square(r_{k,1}, \cdots, r_{k,n}) \\ &\subset V(q_k, \sigma(\theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}k\pi)),\end{aligned}$$

where $r_{k,\alpha} = |\rho_{k+1,\alpha} - \rho_{k,\alpha}|$, and a hyperrectangle $\square(r_1, \cdots, r_n) \subset (\mathbf{t}^n)^* \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\square(r_1, \cdots, r_n) := \{(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ 0 \le t_1 \le r_1,\ \cdots,\ 0 \le t_n \le r_n\}$$

Let $H_{k,\alpha} = \{y \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}\otimes(\mathbf{t}^n)^*;\ y_k + \lambda_{k,\alpha} = 0\}$. Then it is easy to see that $\square_k$ is compact, convex and

$$\partial\square_k \subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{2n}(H_{k,\alpha}\cup H_{k+1,\alpha}).$$

Therefore, $\square_k$ is a $\sigma(\theta_0 + (n+1)k\pi/n)$-Delzant polytope if

$$\square_k \cap \bigcup_{\alpha,k} H_{k,\alpha} \subset \partial\square_k \tag{3}$$

holds.

Next we study the intersection of $\square_{k-1}$ and $\square_k$. We can check that $\square_{k-1} \cap \square_k = \{q_k\}$ and every element in $\square_{k-1}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_{k-1} + (0, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}(k-1)\pi)}) \otimes (t_1, \cdots, t_n) \\
= \; & q_{k-1} + (0, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}(k-1)\pi)}) \otimes (r_{k-1,1}, \cdots, r_{k-1,n}) \\
& -(0, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}(k-1)\pi)}) \otimes (r_{k-1,1} - t_1, \cdots, r_{k-1,n} - t_n) \\
= \; & q_{k-1} + (\lambda_{k-1,1} - \lambda_{k,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k-1,n} - \lambda_{k,n}) \\
& +(0, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}(k-1)+1)\pi}) \otimes (r_{k-1,1} - t_1, \cdots, r_{k-1,n} - t_n) \\
= \; & q_k + (0, e^{\theta_0 + \sqrt{-1}\frac{(n+1)k-1}{n}\pi}) \otimes (r_{k-1,1} - t_1, \cdots, r_{k-1,n} - t_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\square_{k-1}$ and $\square_k$ are intersecting standardly with angle π/n. Of course, the same argument goes well for $\square_{2n}$ and $\square_1$.

To apply Theorem 6.3, it suffices to show that $\square_k \cap \square_l$ is empty if $d_{2n}(k,l) > 1$. However, this condition does not hold in general, accordingly we need to take $\rho_{k,\alpha}$ well. Unfortunately, the author cannot find the good criterion for $\rho_{k,\alpha}$ satisfying the above condition. Here we show one example of $\rho_{k,\alpha}$ which satisfies the assumption of Theorem 6.3.

First of all, take $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ so that every a_m is larger than 1, and put

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{2m-1} &:= e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{2(m-1)}{n}\pi} + a_m(e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{2(m-1)}{n}\pi}), \\
\rho_{2m} &:= e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{2(m+1)}{n}\pi} + a_m(e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi} - e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{2(m+1)}{n}\pi})
\end{aligned}$$

for each $m = 1, \cdots, n$. Denote by $\mathbf{l}_k \subset \mathbb{C}$ the segment connecting ρ_k and ρ_{k+1}. Then we can easily see that $\mathbf{l}_{k-1} \cap \mathbf{l}_k = \{\rho_k\}$ and

$$\arg(\rho_{k+1} - \rho_k) = \frac{n-2}{2n}\pi + \frac{n+1}{n}k\pi.$$

Note that we can regard $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{2n}$ be as above. If every $a_k - 1$ is sufficiently small, then $\mathbf{l}_{2m-1} \cap \mathbf{l}_k$ are empty for all $m = 1, \cdots, n$ and $k = 1, \cdots, 2n$ with $d_{2n}(k, 2m-1) > 1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Re} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection given by taking the real part. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{l}_{2m-1}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) \cap \mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{l}_k e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi})$ is empty under the given assumptions. Let $\rho_{2m-1} + t(\rho_{2m} - \rho_{2m-1}) \in \mathbf{l}_{2m-1}$. Then we can check that

$$\mathrm{Re}(\rho_{2m-1}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi} + t(\rho_{2m} - \rho_{2m-1})e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) = (1 - a_m)\cos\frac{2\pi}{n} + a_m,$$

which implies $\mathrm{Re}(\mathbf{l}_{2m-1}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) = \{-(a_m-1)\cos\frac{2\pi}{n} + a_m\}$. If we can see that

$$\mathrm{Re}(\rho_k e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) < -(a_m-1)\cos\frac{2\pi}{n} + a_m \tag{4}$$

for all k, we have the assertion. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}(\rho_{2l}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) &= -(a_l-1)\cos(\frac{2(1+l-m)}{n}\pi) \\
&\quad +a_l\cos(\frac{2(l-m)}{n}\pi), \\
\mathrm{Re}(\rho_{2l'-1}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) &= -(a_{l'}-1)\cos(\frac{2(1-l'+m)}{n}\pi) \\
&\quad +a_{l'}\cos(\frac{2(l'-m)}{n}\pi)
\end{aligned}$$

and $d_{2n}(2l,2m) > 1$, $d_{2n}(2l'-1,2m) > 1$ holds, we have $\cos(2(l-m)\pi/n) \leq \cos(2\pi/n)$ and $\cos(2(l'-m)\pi/n) \leq \cos(2\pi/n)$. By using the inequality $\cos(\frac{2(1+l-m)}{n}\pi) \geq -1$ and $\cos(\frac{2(1-l'+m)}{n}\pi) \geq -1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}(\rho_{2l}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) &\leq (a_l-1) + a_l\cos\frac{2\pi}{n} \\
&= (a_l-1)(1+\cos\frac{2\pi}{n}) + \cos\frac{2\pi}{n}, \\
\mathrm{Re}(\rho_{2l'-1}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{2m}{n}\pi}) &\leq (a_{l'}-1) + a_{l'}\cos\frac{2\pi}{n} \\
&= (a_{l'}-1)(1+\cos\frac{2\pi}{n}) + \cos\frac{2\pi}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we assume $a_l - 1 < (1-\cos\frac{2\pi}{n})/(1+\cos\frac{2\pi}{n})$, then the left-hand-side of (4) is less than 1. Since

$$-(a_m-1)\cos\frac{2\pi}{n} + a_m = (a_m-1)(1-\cos\frac{2\pi}{n}) + 1,$$

the right-hand-side of (4) is always larger than 1 and we obtain the inequality (4). □

Now, divide $\{1,\cdots,n\}$ into two nonempty sets

$$\{1,\cdots,n\} = A_+ \sqcup A_-,$$

and define $\rho_{k,\alpha}$ by $\rho_{k,\alpha} = \rho_k$ if $\alpha \in A_+$, and $\rho_{k,\alpha} = \rho_k e^{\sqrt{-1}\pi/n}$ if $\alpha \in A_-$. Here, we suppose $a_k - 1$ are sufficiently small so that Proposition 6.4 holds.

Denote by $\mathbf{l}_{k,\alpha}$ the segment in $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$ connecting $(0, \rho_{k,\alpha})$ and $(0, \rho_{k+1,\alpha})$. Then we can see that

$$\square_k = q_k + \mathbf{l}_{k,1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{l}_{k,n},$$

and $\square_k$ satisfies (3).

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\square_1, \cdots, \square_{2n}$ be as above. Then $\square_k \cap \square_l$ is empty if $d_{2n}(k,l) > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose there is an element $\hat{x} \in \square_k \cap \square_l$. Then $\hat{x}$ can be written as $\hat{x} = (0, x)$ for some $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and

$$x_\alpha = \rho_{k,\alpha} + t_{k,\alpha}(\rho_{k+1,\alpha} - \rho_{k,\alpha}) = \rho_{l,\alpha} + t_{l,\alpha}(\rho_{l+1,\alpha} - \rho_{l,\alpha}) \tag{5}$$

holds for some $0 \leq t_{k,\alpha}, t_{l,\alpha} \leq 1$. Now, assume that l is odd. Then (5) has no solution for $\alpha \in A_+$ by Proposition 6.4. Similarly, if l is supposed to be even, then (5) has no solution for $\alpha \in A_-$. Hence $\square_k \cap \square_l$ should be empty. □

Since $L_{\square_k} = (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, and there is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism between $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and $(\overline{\mathbb{P}^1})^n$, we obtain the following example.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $X(u, \lambda)$ be as above. Then there exists a compact smooth special Lagrangian submanifold diffeomorphic to*

$$2n(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \# (S^1 \times S^{2n-1})$$

embedded in $X(u, \lambda)$.

6.2 Example (2)

Here we construct one more example in an 8 dimensional toric hyper-Kähler manifolds.

Let

$$u := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^5, \mathbb{Z}^2),$$

and $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_4) \in \mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H} \otimes (\mathbf{t}^5)^*$. Put

$$q_1 := -(\lambda_1, \lambda_2),\ q_2 := -(\lambda_3, \lambda_2),\ q_3 := -(\lambda_3, \lambda_4),\ q_4 := -(\lambda_1, \lambda_4)$$

and $\triangle_k := q_k + \tau_k \otimes \triangle$ for $k = 1, \cdots, 4$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_0, \\ \tau_2 &:= \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_0, \\ \tau_3 &:= \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_0, \\ \tau_4 &:= \lambda_1 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\triangle := \{(t_1, t_2) \in (\mathbf{t}^2)^* \cong \mathbb{R}^2;\ t_1 \geq 0,\ t_2 \geq 0,\ t_1 + t_2 \leq 1\}.$$

If we assume that $\tau_1 = (0, \sqrt{-1}r_1)$, $\tau_2 = (0, -r_2)$, $\tau_3 = (0, -\sqrt{-1}r_1)$, $\tau_4 = (0, r_2) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, where $r_1, r_2 > 0$, then $\triangle_k$ is a $\sigma(k\pi/2)$-Delzant polytope. For example, put $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = (0, \sqrt{-1}r_1)$, $\lambda_3 = (0, -r_2 - \sqrt{-1}r_1)$ and $\lambda_4 = (0, r_2)$.

Proposition 6.7. *Under the above setting, $\triangle_k$ and $\triangle_{k+1}$ are intersecting standardly with angle $\pi/2$ for every $k = 1, \cdots, 4$. Here we suppose $\triangle_5 = \triangle_1$.*

Proof. We check the case of $k = 1$, because other cases can be shown similarly. Let $q_k + \tau_k \otimes (t_1, t_2) \in \triangle_k$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 + \tau_1 \otimes (t_1, t_2) &= q_1 + \tau_1 \otimes (1, 0) + \tau_1 \otimes (t_1 - 1, t_2) \\ &= (\lambda_2 - \lambda_0, -\lambda_2) + \sigma(\frac{\pi}{2}) \otimes r_1(t_1 - 1, t_2), \\ q_2 + \tau_2 \otimes (t_1, t_2) &= q_2 + \tau_2 \otimes (1, 0) + \tau_2 \otimes (t_1 - 1, t_2) \\ &= (\lambda_2 - \lambda_0, -\lambda_2) + \sigma(\pi) \otimes r_2(t_1 - 1, t_2), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\triangle_1$ and $\triangle_2$ are intersecting standardly with angle $\pi/2$. Note that we have to take

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in Definition 6.2, since $t_1 - 1$ is nonpositive in this case. □

Proposition 6.8. *Under the above setting, $\triangle_1 \cap \triangle_3$ and $\triangle_2 \cap \triangle_4$ are empty sets.*

Proof. Every $x \in \triangle_1 \cap \triangle_3$ can be written as

$$x = q_1 + \tau_1 \otimes (t_1, t_2) = q_3 + \tau_3 \otimes (s_1, s_2)$$

for some $0 \leq t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \leq 1$. The first component of the above equation gives

$$t_1\tau_1 - s_1\tau_3 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_3 = \tau_1 - \tau_2.$$

By substituting $\tau_1 = (0, \sqrt{-1}r_1)$, $\tau_2 = (0, -r_2)$ and $\tau_3 = (0, -\sqrt{-1}r_1)$, we obtain

$$(t_1 + s_1)\sqrt{-1}r_1 = r_2 + \sqrt{-1}r_1,$$

which has no solution $(t_1, s_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. $\triangle_2 \cap \triangle_4 = \emptyset$ also follows by the same argument. □

Thus we obtain the following example.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $X(u,\lambda)$ be as above. Then there exists a compact smooth special Lagrangian submanifold diffeomorphic to $2\mathbb{P}^2\#2\overline{\mathbb{P}^2}\#(S^1\times S^3)$ embedded in $X(u,\lambda)$.*

6.3 Example (3)

We can describe a generalization of Theorem 6.3 in the more complicated situation.

Theorem 6.10. *Let $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{E},s,t)$ be a quiver, $X(u,\lambda)$ be a smooth toric hyper-Kähler manifold, and $\{\triangle_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{V}}$ be a family of subsets of $\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}\otimes(\mathbf{t}^n)^*$. Assume that every $\triangle_k$ is a $\sigma(\theta_k)$-Delzant polytope for some $\theta_k\in\mathbb{R}$, $\triangle_{s(h)}$ and $\triangle_{t(h)}$ intersecting standardly with angle π/n if $h\in\mathcal{E}$, otherwise $\triangle_{k_1}\cap\triangle_{k_2}=\emptyset$ or $k_1=k_2$. Moreover, suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by cycles. Then there exists a family of compact special Lagrangian submanifolds $\{\tilde{L}_t\}_{0<t<\delta}$ which converges to $\bigcup_{k\in\mathcal{V}}L_{\triangle_k}$ in the sense of current.*

Proof. The proof is same as that of Theorem 6.3. □

Fix positive real numbers a,b,c,a_m for $m=1,\cdots,N$ so that $0<a_1<a_2<\cdots<a_N$. Let

$$u=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1&0&0&\cdots&0\\0&0&0&0&1&1&\cdots&1\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{2N+6},\mathbb{Z}^2)$$

and

$$\lambda=(\lambda_{-3},\lambda_{-2},\lambda_{-1},\lambda_0,\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2N+2})\in\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}\otimes(\mathbf{t}^{2N+6})^*,$$

where $-\lambda_0=0$, $-\lambda_{-1}=(0,\sqrt{-1}b)$, $-\lambda_{-2}=(0,a+\sqrt{-1}b)$, $-\lambda_{-3}=(0,a)$, $-\lambda_{2m+1}=(0,a_m+\sqrt{-1}c)$ and $-\lambda_{2m+2}=(0,a_m)$ for $m=0,1,\cdots,N$. Here, we put $a_0=0$. Then $X(u,\lambda)$ is smooth and become the direct product $X(u',\lambda')\times X(u'',\lambda'')$ where $u'=(1,1,1,1)\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^4,\mathbb{Z})$, $u''=(1,\cdots,1)\in\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{2N+2},\mathbb{Z})$, $\lambda'=(\lambda_{-3},\lambda_{-2},\lambda_{-1},\lambda_0)$ and $\lambda''=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2N+2})$. Denote by $[p,q]\subset\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$ the segment connecting $p,q\in\mathrm{Im}\mathbb{H}$, and put $\mathbf{A}_-:=[-\lambda_0,-\lambda_{-1}]$, $\mathbf{A}_+:=[-\lambda_{-2},-\lambda_{-3}]$, $\mathbf{S}_+:=[-\lambda_{-1},-\lambda_{-2}]$, $\mathbf{S}_-:=[-\lambda_{-3},-\lambda_0]$, $\mathbf{A}_m:=[-\lambda_{2m+1},-\lambda_{2m+2}]$ for $m=0,1,\cdots,N$, $\mathbf{S}_{+,m}:=[-\lambda_{2m-1},-\lambda_{2m+1}]$ and $\mathbf{S}_{-,m}:=[-\lambda_{2m},-\lambda_{2m+2}]$ for $m=1,\cdots,N$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{2l,1}&:=\mathbf{A}_-\times\mathbf{A}_{2l},\\ \square_{2l,2}&:=\mathbf{S}_+\times\mathbf{S}_{+,2l+1},\\ \square_{2l,3}&:=\mathbf{A}_+\times\mathbf{A}_{2l+1},\\ \square_{2l,4}&:=\mathbf{S}_-\times\mathbf{S}_{-,2l+1}\end{aligned}$$

for $l = 0, 1, \cdots, [(N-1)/2]$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\square_{2l-1,1} &:= \mathbf{A}_- \times \mathbf{A}_{2l}, \\
\square_{2l-1,2} &:= \mathbf{S}_+ \times \mathbf{S}_{-,2l}, \\
\square_{2l-1,3} &:= \mathbf{A}_+ \times \mathbf{A}_{2l-1}, \\
\square_{2l-1,4} &:= \mathbf{S}_- \times \mathbf{S}_{+,2l}
\end{aligned}$$

for $l = 1, \cdots, [N/2]$. Then $\square_{m,j}$ is a $\sigma(j\pi/2)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold satisfying $\square_{2l-1,1} = \square_{2l,1}$ and $\square_{2l,3} = \square_{2l+1,3}$, moreover $\square_{m,j}$ and $\square_{m,j+1}$ intersect standardly with angle $\pi/2$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where we put $\square_{m,5} = \square_{m,1}$. Otherwise, $\square_{m,j} \cap \square_{m',j'}$ is empty.

Now let

$$\mathcal{V} := (\{0, 1, \cdots, N\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4\})/\sim,$$

where $\sim$ is defined by $(2l-1, 1) \sim (2l, 1)$ and $(2l, 3) \sim (2l+1, 3)$. We denote by $[m, j] \in \mathcal{V}$ the equivalence class represented by (m, j). Put

$$\mathcal{E} := \{[m, j] \to [m, j+1], [m, 4] \to [m, 1];\ m = 0, \cdots, N,\ j = 1, 2, 3\},$$

where $x \to y$ means the directed edge whose source is x and the target is y. Then we obtain a quiver $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}s, t)$ and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{E}$ is covered by cycles. By this setting, we can see that $\{\square_{m,j}\}_{[m,j]\in\mathcal{V}}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 6.10, and we have the following result.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $X(u, \lambda)$ be as above. Then there exists a compact smooth special Lagrangian submanifold diffeomorphic to*

$$(3N+1)(\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \# N(S^1 \times S^3)$$

embedded in $X(u, \lambda)$.

7 Obstruction

Here we introduce obstructions for the existence of holomorphic Lagrangian and special Lagrangian submanifolds in hyper-Kähler manifolds. Throughout of this section, let $(M^{4n}, g, I_1, I_2, I_3)$ be a hyper-Kähler manifold.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $L \subset M$ be a special Lagrangian submanifold, and also a σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold for some $\sigma \in S^2$. Then $\sigma = \sigma(k\pi/n)$ for some $k = 1, \cdots, 2n$.*

Proof. By decomposing $\mathbb{R}^3$ into $\mathbb{R}\sigma$ and its orthogonal complement, we have

$$(1,0,0) = p\sigma + q\tau$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau \in S^2$, where τ is orthogonal to σ. Then we have $\omega_1 = p\omega^\sigma + q\omega^\tau$ and

$$0 = \omega_1|_L = p\omega^\sigma|_L + q\omega^\tau|_L = p\omega^\sigma|_L,$$

since L is a special Lagrangian and σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold. Hence p should be 0 since ω^σ is non-degenerate on L. Thus we have $(1,0,0) = q\tau$, which means that σ is orthogonal to $(1,0,0)$. Then we may write $\sigma = \sigma(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. By the condition $\mathrm{Im}(\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)^n|_L = 0$, we obtain $\theta = k\pi/n$ for some $k = 1, \cdots, 2n$. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let L be a compact $\sigma(\theta)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold in M for some θ, and the orientation of L be determined by $\omega_1^{\sigma(\theta)}$. Then the pairing of the de Rham cohomology class $[\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3]^n$ and the homology class $[L] \in H_{2n}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is given by*

$$\langle [\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3]^n, [L] \rangle = e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta} V,$$

where $V(L) > 0$ is the volume of L.

Proof. Since L is $\sigma(\theta)$-holomorphic Lagrangian, we have

$$\omega_1|_L = \omega^{\hat{\sigma}(\theta)}|_L = 0,$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(\theta) = (0, -\sin\theta, \cos\theta) \in S^2$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle [\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3]^n, [L] \rangle &= \int_L (\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)^n \\
&= \int_L e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta} \{e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}(\omega_2 + \sqrt{-1}\omega_3)\}^n \\
&= e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta} \int_L (\omega^{\sigma(\theta)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega^{\hat{\sigma}(\theta)})^n \\
&= e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta} \int_L (\omega^{\sigma(\theta)})^n = e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta} V(L).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $L_1, L_2, \cdots, L_A$ be compact smooth submanifolds of dimension $2n$ embedded in M. Assume that each L_α is a $\sigma(\theta_\alpha)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold for some $\theta_\alpha \in \frac{\pi}{n}\mathbb{Z}$, and the orientation of L_α is determined by $\omega^{\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}$. Put $\varepsilon_\alpha = 1$ if $\frac{n}{\pi}\theta_\alpha$ is even, and $\varepsilon_\alpha = -1$ if $\frac{n}{\pi}\theta_\alpha$ is odd.

Proposition 7.3. *Under the above setting, assume that there exists a compact smooth $\sigma(\theta)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold L in the homology class $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha[L_\alpha]$ for some $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$. Then $\{\theta_1,\theta_2,\cdots,\theta_A\}$ is contained in $\theta+\pi\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since L_α is a $\sigma(\theta_\alpha)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle[\omega_2+\sqrt{-1}\omega_3]^n,\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha[L_\alpha]\rangle &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta_\alpha}V(L_\alpha)\\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha^2 V(L_\alpha)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}V(L_\alpha). \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 7.2. Since L is a compact smooth $\sigma(\theta)$-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold, $\omega_1|_L=\omega^{\hat\sigma(\theta)}|_L=0$ holds, where we put $\hat\sigma(\theta)$ as in the proof of Proposition 7.2. Therefore we obtain

$$\langle[\omega_2+\sqrt{-1}\omega_3]^n,[L]\rangle=e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta}\langle[\omega^{\sigma(\theta)}]^n,[L]\rangle=e^{\sqrt{-1}n\theta}\langle[\omega^{\sigma(\theta)}]^n,[L]\rangle \qquad (7)$$

by the same computation in the proof of Proposition 7.2. Then by combining (6)(7), θ is given by $\theta=k\pi/n$ for an integer $k=1,\cdots,2n$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\sigma(\theta)} &= \mathrm{Re}(e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}(\omega_2+\sqrt{-1}\omega_3))\\ &= \mathrm{Re}(e^{-\sqrt{-1}(\theta-\theta_\alpha)}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta_\alpha}(\omega_2+\sqrt{-1}\omega_3))\\ &= \mathrm{Re}(e^{-\sqrt{-1}(\theta-\theta_\alpha)}(\omega^{\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}+\sqrt{-1}\omega^{\hat\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}))\\ &= \cos(\theta-\theta_\alpha)\omega^{\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}+\sin(\theta-\theta_\alpha)\omega^{\hat\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}\end{aligned}$$

and $\omega^{\hat\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}|_{L_\alpha}=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle[\omega^{\sigma(\theta)}]^n,[L]\rangle &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha\langle[\omega^{\sigma(\theta)}]^n,[L_\alpha]\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha\cos^n(\theta-\theta_\alpha)\langle[\omega^{\sigma(\theta_\alpha)}]^n,[L_\alpha]\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha\cos^n(\theta-\theta_\alpha)V(L_\alpha) \qquad (8)\end{aligned}$$

By combining (6)(7)(8) and putting $\theta=k\pi/n$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}V(L_\alpha)=(-1)^k\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A}\varepsilon_\alpha\cos^n\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}-\theta_\alpha\right)V(L_\alpha).$$

Next we put $\theta_\alpha = k_\alpha \pi/n$ for $k_\alpha = 1, \cdots, 2n$. Then $\varepsilon_\alpha = (-1)^{k_\alpha}$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{A} V(L_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{A} (-1)^{k-k_\alpha} \cos^n \left(\frac{k-k_\alpha}{n} \pi \right) V(L_\alpha)$$

holds. Since every $V(L_\alpha)$ is positive, we obtain

$$(-1)^{k-k_\alpha} \cos^n \left(\frac{k-k_\alpha}{n} \pi \right) = 1, \tag{9}$$

then $k - k_\alpha$ should be contained in $n\mathbb{Z}$. If $k - k_\alpha = nl$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\cos(\frac{k-k_\alpha}{n}\pi) = \cos l\pi = (-1)^l$ holds, which gives

$$(-1)^{k-k_\alpha} \cos^n \left(\frac{k-k_\alpha}{n} \pi \right) = (-1)^{nl}(-1)^{nl} = 1.$$

Thus the assertion follows since (9) holds if and only if $k - k_\alpha \in n\mathbb{Z}$. □

Corollary 7.4. *Under the assumption of Theorem 6.10, let $\mathcal{E}$ be nonempty. Then the special Lagrangian submanifolds $\tilde{L}_t$ obtained in Theorem 6.10 is not σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold for any $\sigma \in S^2$.*

Proof. First of all, note that $\tilde{L}_t$ is contains in $\sum_{k\in\mathcal{V}} \varepsilon_k [L_{\triangle_k}]$. Let $k_1 \to k_2 \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $\triangle_{k_1}$ and $\triangle_{k_2}$ are intersecting standardly with angle π/n, hence we have $\theta_{k_2} = \theta_{k_1} + \pi/n$, which implies that $\{\theta_k;\ k \in \mathcal{V}\}$ contains θ_{k_1} and $\theta_{k_1} + \pi/n$. Thus $\{\theta_k;\ k \in \mathcal{V}\}$ never be contained in $\theta + \pi\mathbb{Z}$ for any θ since $n > 1$. By Propositions 7.1 and 7.3, $\tilde{L}_t$ never becomes σ-holomorphic Lagrangian submanifold for any $\sigma \in S^2$. □

References

[1] Roger Bielawski and A. Dancer. The geometry and topology of toric hyperkähler manifolds. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 8(4):727–760, 2000.

[2] Yat-Ming Chan. Simultaneous desingularizations of Calabi-Yau and special Lagrangian 3-folds with conical singularities. I. The gluing construction. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 35(1):91–114, 2009.

[3] Yat-Ming Chan. Simultaneous desingularizations of Calabi-Yau and special Lagrangian 3-folds with conical singularities. II. Examples. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 35(2):157–180, 2009.

[4] Reese Harvey and H. Blaine Lawson. Calibrated geometries. *Acta Mathematica*, 148(1):47–157, 1982.

[5] Mark Haskins and Nikolaos Kapouleas. Special Lagrangian cones with higher genus links. *Invent. Math.*, 167(2):223–294, 2007.

[6] Dominic Joyce. Special Lagrangian submanifolds with isolated conical singularities. V. Survey and applications. *J. Differential Geom.*, 63(2):279–347, 2003.

[7] Dominic Joyce. Special Lagrangian submanifolds with isolated conical singularities. III. Desingularization, the unobstructed case. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 26(1):1–58, 2004.

[8] Gary Lawlor. The angle criterion. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 95(2):437–446, 1989.

[9] Dan A. Lee. Connected sums of special lagrangian submanifolds. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 12(3):553–579, 2004.

[10] Yng-Ing Lee. Embedded special Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 11(3):391–423, 2003.

[11] Robert C. McLean. Deformations of calibrated submanifolds. In *Commun. Analy. Geom.* Citeseer, 1996.

[12] Henrik Pedersen and Yat Sun Poon. Hyper-kähler metrics and a generalization of the bogomolny equations. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 117(4):569–580, 1988.

Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan,
hattori@math.keio.ac.jp